Submission ID: 17738

I am writing to confirm my objections to the principles of Mallard Pass. The proposals are for the indiscriminate destruction of most of the area between Stamford and Bourne. Whilst the actual area is over 4 miles by 2 miles the visual effect will be much greater, effectively blighting the whole area, turning it into a brown field site

The ecology of the area will, be greatly effected and will not recover as promised in the glossy words of the proposals. We require valuable agricultural land for the benefit of the country and whole ecological balance. This will be destroyed by what will be substantial Civil Engineering site with Electrical infrastructure.

I have studied with interest the Rutland MP's letters on the proposals. It is of concern that a 'Canadian Chinese' company is trying to achieve this project purely for commercial gain. I read also trying a form of corruption to gain approval.

I would expect proposals such as Mallard Pass to happen in China without anyone being permitted to object, but this is England where thankfully we do have free speech, but land is precious and the only benefit will be the foreign investors. We see how China operates in developing countries by effectively controlling them financially, we must not let this happen here.

I also see how easily it was for the initial proposals to drop the initial inclusion of battery storage. Can Mallard Pass be trusted not to introduce them later ?

There are alternatives to destroying valuable land for solar panels. Huge warehouse sheds are built, ironically mostly for the distribution of Chinese goods! These could be easily covered with panels as could many buildings, together with canopies for car parks with panels on top.

It can also be made mandatory for new build properties to have panels on their roofs.

Empashsis is placed on the existing substation and the amount of power it can handle, surely drip feeding into the grid from many small sites will not present a problem and negate the argument for Mallard Pass.

We must not destroy our green countryside and must look at alternatives to meet the green targets set by our country.